I should not have to endure what some might consider free sales speech. Just as one is not allowed to yell FIRE in a crowded theatre (or a non-crowded one) one should not be allowed free access to homes where their speech is considered incendiary. I consider telemarketing speech, including the b.s. from politicians to be incendiary because they make my blood boil. Because they make my blood boil, and I consider their intrusion to be offensive, I make sure my reply is as offensive and repugnamt as possible, just as protected by the first amendment as their supposed right to utter their offensive tirades. Should you succeed in a quest to limit Wisconsin's No Call provisions, you can count on me to be making the most offensive calls to the FCC that you have every heard, referring to you as normally-not-spokenabout bopdy parts and what you can do with them. These comments will be directed at ANYONE WHO ANSWERS YOUR PHONES AND WILL PERSIST UNTIL YOU FORGET ABOUT TRYING TO STOP WISCONSINITES FROM HAVING PEACE AT THEIR DINNER TABLES OR WHEN THEY WORK A SHIFT OTHER THAN DAYS! Don't mess with Wisconsin's no call list!

By the way, if you do alter anything, I expect you to require disclosure, up front becfore the "sales pitch", "political pitch", "giveaway pitch" (aka Time Share) or "survey pitch", on every single call made by telemarketers of ANY FORM, a name, phone number, address, fax number and email address at which a complaint can be addressed back to the caller(s). MAKE THAT FREE ACCESS GO BOTH WAYS: NO PHONE NUMBER BLOCKING, REQUIRE NAME, FAX, PHONE, ADDRESS and EMAIL ADDRESSES FOR ANY TELEMARKETER TO BE ALLOWED TO TELEMARKET. I expect that to match a database you maintain and that every telemarkewt be required to register with you PRIOR to any telemarketing.

Required access both ways it the only real free and fair access to my home. AND YES, YOU CAN BET TELEMARKETERS WILL GET AN EARFUL AS THEY WELL SHOULD.